

Message

---

**From:** Carpenter, Angela [Carpenter.Angela@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 1/24/2022 8:26:37 PM  
**To:** Evangelista, Pat [Evangelista.Pat@epa.gov]; Prince, John [Prince.John@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Fajardo, Juan [Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov]; Vaughn, Stephanie [Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Draft response....  
**Attachments:** RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Quality Assurance Project Plan and Conceptual Site Model and Data Gaps Evaluation Technical Memorandum, Rolling Knolls- review requested

Hi Pat and John,

Stephanie, Juan and I drafted the following for consideration. Please let us know if you have comments. If you'd prefer to send to Mike Horne with a copy to Sally this could easily be amended (or we could send each a note simultaneously).

Hi Sally,

We were surprised that Mike Horne says in his email to you that EPA has not met with the FWS to discuss the report recently issued on behalf of the FWS, particularly because it appears we were, or had just finished meeting with the FWS when Mike's email was sent to you. We want you, the CAG and those on your mailing list to know that EPA requested a meeting with the FWS before it released its supplemental report to the public, and that meeting was held on January 18, 2022 from 3:00 to 4:00 pm (see attached). I believe Mike Horne sent the report to you at or shortly after 3:30 pm. Please know that EPA has, and will continue to work cooperatively with the FWS throughout the entire remediation process for the Rolling Knolls site.